arXiv:quant-ph/0111089v1 17 Nov 2001

$SO(3,2)$ structure and distributions of two-component Bose-Einstein condensate with lower excitations

Hong-Biao Zhang [1,2], Bing-Hao Xie [2] and Mo-Lin Ge [2]

1. Department of Physics, Northeast Normal University, Changchun, Jilin, 130024, P. R. China

2. Theoretical Physics Division, Nankai Institute of Mathematics, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, P. R. China

Abstract

The wave function describing two-component Bose-Einstein condensate with weakly excitations has been found, by using the SO(3,2) algebraic mean-field approximation. We show that the two-component modified BEC (see eq.(26)) possesses uniquely super-Poissonian distribution in a fixed magnetic field along z-direction. The distribution will be uncertain, if $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{0}$.

Keywords: mean-field approximation, $SO(3,2)$ coherent state

PACS numbers: 03.65.−w, 03.65.Fd, 03.75.Fi, 05.30.Jp

Electronic address: hbzhang@eyou.com

1 Introduction

Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC) was first introduced as a phenomenon in non-interacting Bose system and was generalized to interacting Bose systems in 1956 by Penrose and Onsager[1]. More recently, following the experiments with BEC of atomic gases[2]-[4], simultaneous condensation of two different atomic species or two different hyperfine spin states of the same atoms such as $^{87}R_b$ was achieved in the same trap[5]-[7] that has further stimulated a great revival of interest in the theoretical study of this phenomenon. The multiplicit BEC theory was first set up by Ho[8]. One fascinating aspect of BEC is the nature of coherence for a macroscopic quantum system, and in recent experiments some of the coherence properties of BEC have also been discussed and explicitly addressed[9]-[11]. Among number of papers the algebraic average method (AAM) was used to discussed one-component BEC by Solomon[12] et al. However, many properties of two-component (or binary) BEC may still be desirable to be understood from the coherence. In comparison with one-component macroscopic quantum Bose system, the physics of binary BEC is richer than of the usual one-component systems. if the magnitude of wavefunction may not be constant the advantage of AAM appears. In this paper, we extend the idea in Ref.[11] to two-component case and describe the Hamiltonian and energy eigenstate within the SO(3,2) mean-field picture of BEC. Based on this theory, a generalized version of the BEC weakly excited states is constructed. The second-ordered correlation functions is also calculated.

2 Model and solution

The standard description of two-component Bose-Einstein condensation is by means of two-component bosonic atomic fields $\Psi_\alpha(\mathbf{x})$ $(\alpha = 1, 2)$ and thus the density of the particle number and the spin can be written as $n(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_\alpha \Psi^\dagger_\alpha(\mathbf{x})\Psi_\alpha(\mathbf{x})$, and $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\alpha\beta} \Psi^\dagger_\alpha(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{S}_{\alpha\beta}\Psi_\beta(\mathbf{x})$, respectively. In the presence of a constant magnetic field $\mathbf{B}$ along the z-direction the Hamiltonian take the form[13]:

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \int d^3x\{\sum_\alpha \Psi^\dagger_\alpha(\mathbf{x})(-\frac{\nabla^2}{2M} + U(\mathbf{x}))\Psi_\alpha(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{2}g_n n^2(\mathbf{x}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}g_s\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{x})\cdot\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{x}) - g_\mu\mathbf{B}\cdot\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{x})\} \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where g_n, g_s are coupling constants and g_μ is the gyromagnetic ratio. Expanding $n(\mathbf{x})$ and $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{x})$ in terms of the field operators, eq.(1) can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \sum_\alpha \int d^3x \Psi^\dagger_\alpha(\mathbf{x})(-\frac{\nabla^2}{2M} + U(\mathbf{x}) + g_1)\Psi_\alpha(\mathbf{x}) \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{2}g_\mu B \int d^3x (\Psi^\dagger_1(\mathbf{x})\Psi_1(\mathbf{x}) - \Psi^\dagger_2(\mathbf{x})\Psi_2(\mathbf{x})\} \\ &\quad +\frac{1}{2}g_2 \sum_{\alpha\beta} \int d^3x \Psi^\dagger_\alpha(\mathbf{x})\Psi^\dagger_\beta(\mathbf{x})\Psi_\beta(\mathbf{x})\Psi_\alpha(\mathbf{x}) \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where $g_1 = \frac{1}{2}g_n + \frac{3}{8}g_s$ and $g_2 = g_n + \frac{1}{4}g_s$. In two dimensions in the cylindrical polar coordinates through the Fourier transformation

$$\Psi_1(\mathbf{x}) = \sum\nolimits_m a_m \Phi_m(\mathbf{x}), \quad \Psi_2(\mathbf{x}) = \sum\nolimits_m b_m \Phi_m(\mathbf{x})$$

with

$$\Phi_m(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{(\pi^{\frac{3}{2}} m! a^3_{osc})^{\frac{1}{2}}} (\frac{\rho}{a_{osc}})^{|m|} e^{im\phi} e^{\frac{-(\rho^2+z^2)}{2a^2_{osc}}}$$

being the harmonic-oscillator wave functions. $a_{osc} = (\frac{\bar{h}}{M\omega})^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is the harmonic oscillator length, further assume that the bosons are in their ground state with respect to the z-axis, it is recast eq.(2) to the second quantized form[14]

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \sum_k \{\epsilon_k(n^a_k + n^b_k) + \frac{1}{2}g_\mu B(n^b_k - n^a_k)\} \\ &\quad +\frac{1}{2}g_2 \sum_{k,l,m,n} \langle k,l \mid m,n\rangle (a^+_k a^+_l a_m a_n + b^+_k b^+_l b_m b_n + 2a^+_k b^+_l a_m b_n) \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \langle k,l \mid m,n\rangle &= \int \Phi^*_k(\mathbf{x})\Phi^*_l(\mathbf{x})\Phi_m(\mathbf{x})\Phi_n(\mathbf{x}) d^3x \\ &= \delta_{k+l,m+n} \frac{(k+l)!}{2^{(k+l)}\sqrt{k!l!m!n!}} V_0 \\ V_0 &= \int \mid \Phi_0(\mathbf{x}) \mid^4 d^3x \end{aligned}$$

The number operators $n^a_k \equiv a^+_k a_k$ and $n^b_k \equiv b^+_k b_k$, the raising operators a^+_k (b^+_k), and the lowering operators a_p (b_p) obey the Weyl-Heisenberg algebraic commutators:

$$\begin{aligned} &[a_p, a^+_k] = \delta_{pk}, \quad [n^a_k, a^+_p] = \delta_{pk}a^+_k, \quad [n^a_k, a_p] = -\delta_{pk}a_k, \\ &[a_p, b^+_k] = [a_p, b_k] = [b_p, a^+_k] = [b^+_p, a^+_k] = 0 \\ &[b_p, b^+_k] = \delta_{pk}, \quad [n^b_k, b^+_p] = \delta_{pk}b^+_k, \quad [n^a_k, a^+_p] = -\delta_{pk}b_k. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

The Bogoliubov prescription is that at zero temperature the state with $k = 0$ is macroscopically occupied and this observation allows one to treat a_0^+ (b_0^+) and a_0 (b_0) as c numbers ($[a_0, a_0^+] \simeq 0$) since the corresponding number operator n_0^a (n_0^b) respectively, counting the bosons constituting the condensate, turns out to be macroscopically large. However, this neglect of the operator a_0^+ (b_0^+) and a_0 (b_0) is not an appropriate approximation if we wish to describe phenomena in the condensate ground states. So here we no longer adopt such an approximation and we retain the operator status of a_0^+ (b_0^+) and a_0 (b_0) in order to give a more consistent description of the state of the condensated system.

Making explicit the terms depending on a_0^+ (b_0^+) and a_0 (b_0) in eq.(3) and neglecting those terms that contain three or four boson operators a_k^+ (b_k^+) and a_l (b_l) ($k, l \neq 0$) the H reduces to the form

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \epsilon_0(n_0^a + n_0^b) + \sum_{k\neq 0} \epsilon_k(n_k^a + n_k^b) + \frac{1}{2} g_2 V_0 (a_0^{+2} a_0^2 + b_0^{+2} b_0^2 \\ &+ 2a_0^+ b_0^+ b_0 a_0) + \frac{1}{2} g_2 V_0 \sum_{k\neq 0} \frac{1}{2^k} (4 n_0^a n_k^a + 4 n_0^b n_k^b \\ &+ 2 n_0^a n_k^b + 2 n_0^b n_k^a + 2 a_0^+ b_0 b_k^+ a_k + 2 b_0^+ a_0 a_k^+ b_k) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} g_2 V_0 \sum_{k\neq 0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k!(-k)!}} (a_0^{+2} a_{-k} a_k + a_0^2 a_k^+ a_{-k}^+ \\ &+ b_0^{+2} b_{-k} b_k + b_0^2 b_k^+ b_{-k}^+ + 2 a_0^+ b_0^+ a_k b_{-k} + 2 a_0 b_0 a_k^+ b_{-k}^+) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} g_\mu B (n_0^b - n_0^a) + \frac{1}{2} g_\mu B \sum_{k\neq 0} (n_k^b - n_k^a) \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

We now define the generators of the algebra $SO(3,2)$ in the following forms

$$\begin{aligned} &E_+^{(0)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} a_0^+ b_0^+ \,, \qquad E_-^{(0)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} a_0 b_0 \,, \qquad E_3^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2}(n_0^a + n_0^b + 1) \\ &F_+^{(0)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} a_0 b_0^+ \,, \qquad F_-^{(0)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} a_0^+ b_0 \,, \qquad F_3^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2}(n_0^b - n_0^a) \\ &U_+^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2} a_0^{+2} \,, \quad U_-^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2} a_0{}^2 \,, \quad V_+^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2} b_0^{+2} \,, \quad V_-^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2} b_0{}^2 \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &E_+^{(k)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(a_k^+ b_{-k}^+ + a_{-k}^+ b_k^+) \,, \qquad F_+^{(k)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(a_k b_k^+ + a_{-k} b_{-k}^+) \\ &E_-^{(k)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(a_k b_{-k} + a_{-k} b_{-k}) \,, \qquad F_-^{(k)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(a_k^+ b_k + a_{-k}^+ b_{-k}) \\ &E_3^{(k)} = \frac{1}{2}(n_k^a + n_{-k}^a + n_k^b + n_{-k}^b + 2) \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_3^{(k)} &= \frac{1}{2}(n_k^b + n_{-k}^b - n_k^a - n_{-k}^a) \\
U_+^{(k)} &= a_{-k}^+ a_k^+ \ , \qquad V_+^{(k)} = b_{-k}^+ b_k^+ \\
U_-^{(k)} &= a_k a_{-k} \ , \qquad V_-^{(k)} = b_k b_{-k}
\end{aligned} \tag{7}
$$

which generate a $SO(3,2)$ algebra with the generators satisfying the following relations ($q = 0, k, -k$):

$$
\begin{aligned}
&[E_\pm^{(q)}, V_\mp^{(q)}] = \mp F_\mp^{(q)} \qquad [F_\pm^{(q)}, V_\mp^{(q)}] = \mp E_\mp^{(q)} \\
&[E_\pm^{(q)}, U_\mp^{(q)}] = \mp F_\pm^{(q)} \qquad [F_\pm^{(q)}, U_\pm^{(q)}] = \pm E_\pm^{(q)} \\
&[E_\pm^{(q)}, F_\pm^{(q)}] = \mp V_\pm^{(q)} \qquad [E_\pm^{(q)}, F_\mp^{(q)}] = \mp U_\pm^{(q)} \\
&[E_3^{(q)}, E_\pm^{(q)}] = \pm E_\pm^{(q)} \qquad [F_3^{(q)}, F_\pm^{(q)}] = \pm F_\pm^{(q)} \\
&[E_3^{(q)}, U_\pm^{(q)}] = \pm U_\pm^{(q)} \qquad [F_3^{(q)}, U_\pm^{(q)}] = \mp U_\pm^{(q)} \\
&[E_3^{(q)}, V_\pm^{(q)}] = \pm V_\pm^{(q)} \qquad [F_3^{(q)}, V_\pm^{(q)}] = \pm V_\pm^{(q)} \\
&[E_+^{(q)}, E_-^{(q)}] = -E_3^{(q)} \qquad [F_+^{(q)}, F_-^{(q)}] = F_3^{(q)} \\
&[U_+^{(q)}, U_-^{(q)}] = -(E_3^{(q)} - F_3^{(q)}) \\
&[V_+^{(q)}, V_-^{(q)}] = -(E_3^{(q)} + F_3^{(q)}).
\end{aligned} \tag{8}
$$

and otherwise vanishes.

Moreover, introducing order-parameter operators

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_+^{(k)} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(a_k^+ b_{-k}^+ - a_{-k}^+ b_k^+) \ , \qquad N_+^{(k)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(a_k b_k^+ - a_{-k} b_{-k}^+) \\
\Delta_-^{(k)} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(a_k b_{-k} - a_{-k} b_{-k}) \ , \qquad N_-^{(k)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(a_k^+ b_k - a_{-k}^+ b_{-k}) \\
N_3^{(k)} &= \frac{1}{2}(n_k^b - n_{-k}^b - n_k^a + n_{-k}^a)
\end{aligned} \tag{9}
$$

and conserved quantity

$$
Q^{(k)} = \frac{1}{2}(n_k^a + n_k^b - n_{-k}^a - n_{-k}^b - 2) \tag{10}
$$

that obey the commutation relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
&[N_\pm^{(k)}, F_\mp^{(k)}] = \pm N_3^{(k)} \qquad [F_3^{(k)}, N_\pm^{(k)}] = \pm N_\pm^{(k)} \\
&[N_\pm^{(k)}, U_\pm^{(k)}] = \mp \Delta_\pm^{(k)} \qquad [N_\pm^{(k)}, V_\mp^{(k)}] = \mp \Delta_\mp^{(k)} \\
&[\Delta_\pm^{(k)}, E_\mp^{(k)}] = \pm N_3^{(k)} \qquad [\Delta_\pm^{(k)}, U_\mp^{(k)}] = \pm N_\pm^{(k)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&[\Delta_{\pm}^{(k)}, V_{\mp}^{(k)}] = \mp N_{\mp}^{(k)} \qquad [E_3^{(k)}, \Delta_{\pm}^{(k)}] = \pm\Delta_{\pm}^{(k)} \\
&[N_3^{(k)}, E_{\pm}^{(k)}] = \mp\Delta_{\pm}^{(k)} \qquad [N_3^{(k)}, F_{\pm}^{(k)}] = \pm N_{\pm}^{(k)} \\
&[N_3^{(k)}, N_{\pm}^{(k)}] = \pm F_{\pm}^{(k)} \qquad [N_3^{(k)}, \Delta_{\pm}^{(k)}] = \pm E_{\pm}^{(k)} \\
&[N_+^{(k)}, N_-^{(k)}] = F_3^{(k)} \qquad [N_{\pm}^{(k)}, \Delta_{\pm}^{(k)}] = \pm V_{\pm}^{(k)} \\
&[\Delta_+^{(k)}, \Delta_-^{(k)}] = E_3^{(k)} \qquad [N_{\pm}^{(k)}, \Delta_{\mp}^{(k)}] = \pm U_{\mp}^{(k)}
\end{aligned} \tag{11}
$$

Therefore, we can rewrite H in terms of the generators of the algebra $SO(3,2)$ and its order parameter operators as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
H &= \epsilon_0(2E_3^{(0)} - 1) + g_\mu B F_3^{(0)} + \sum_{k\neq 0}(\epsilon_k E_3^{(k)} + \frac{1}{2} g_\mu B F_3^{(k)}) \\
&\quad + 2g_2 V_0 (U_+^{(0)} U_-^{(0)} + V_+^{(0)} V_-^{(0)} + E_+^{(0)} E_-^{(0)}) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} g_2 V_0 \sum_{k\neq 0} \frac{1}{2^k} \{6E_3^{(0)}(E_3^{(k)} + Q^{(k)}) + 2F_3^{(0)}(F_3^{(k)} + N_3^{(k)}) \\
&\quad + 2F_-^{(0)}(F_+^{(k)} + N_+^{(k)}) + 2F_+^{(0)}(F_-^{(k)} + N_-^{(k)}) - 3E_3^{(k)} \\
&\quad - 3Q^{(k)}\} + g_2 V_0 \sum_{k\neq 0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k!(-k)!}} (U_+^{(0)} U_-^{(k)} + U_-^{(0)} U_+^{(k)} \\
&\quad + V_+^{(0)} V_-^{(k)} + V_-^{(0)} V_+^{(k)} + E_-^{(0)} E_+^{(k)} + E_+^{(0)} E_-^{(k)})
\end{aligned}
$$

Noting that the the algebraic mean-field procedure is a good approximation to describe condensate and using[12]

$$
AB \simeq A\langle B\rangle + \langle A\rangle B - \langle A\rangle\langle B\rangle
$$

The Hamiltonian becomes

$$
H_{mf} = H^{(0)} + \sum_{k\neq 0} H^{(k)} - E_* \tag{12}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{(0)} &= \alpha_0 E_3^{(0)} + \beta_0 F_3^{(0)} + \gamma_0 F_-^{(0)} + \gamma_0^* F_+^{(0)} + \rho_0 U_-^{(0)} \\
&\quad + \rho_0^* U_+^{(0)} + \sigma_0 V_-^{(0)} + \sigma_0^* V_+^{(0)} + \tau_0 E_-^{(0)} + \tau_0^* E_+^{(0)}
\end{aligned} \tag{13}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_0 &= 2\epsilon_0 + 3g_2 V_0 \sum_{k\neq 0} \frac{1}{2^k} (\langle E_3^{(k)}\rangle + \langle Q^{(k)}\rangle) \\
\beta_0 &= g_\mu B + g_2 V_0 \sum_{k\neq 0} \frac{1}{2^k} (\langle F_3^{(k)}\rangle + \langle N_3^{(k)}\rangle)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_0 &= g_2 V_0 (2\langle U_+^{(0)}\rangle + \sum_{k\neq 0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k!(-k)!}} \langle U_+^{(k)}\rangle) \\
\gamma_0 &= g_2 V_0 \sum_{k\neq 0} \frac{1}{2^k} (\langle F_+^{(k)}\rangle + \langle N_+^{(k)}\rangle) \\
\sigma_0 &= g_2 V_0 (2\langle V_+^{(0)}\rangle + \sum_{k\neq 0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k!(-k)!}} \langle V_+^{(k)}\rangle) \\
\tau_0 &= g_2 V_0 (2\langle E_+^{(0)}\rangle + \sum_{k\neq 0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k!(-k)!}} \langle E_+^{(k)}\rangle)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{(k)} &= \alpha_k E_3^{(k)} + (\alpha_k - \epsilon_k) Q^{(k)} + (\beta_k - \frac{1}{2} g_\mu B) N_3^{(k)} \\
&\quad + \beta_k F_3^{(k)} + \gamma_k (F_-^{(k)} + N_-^{(k)}) + \gamma_k^* (F_+^{(k)} + N_+^{(k)}) \\
&\quad + \rho_k U_-^{(k)} + \rho_k^* U_+^{(k)} + \sigma_k V_-^{(k)} + \sigma_k^* V_+^{(k)} \\
&\quad + \tau_k E_-^{(k)} + \tau_k^* E_+^{(k)}
\end{aligned} \tag{14}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\alpha_k = \epsilon_k + \tfrac{g_2 V_0}{2^k} (3\langle E_3^{(0)}\rangle - \tfrac{3}{2}), \quad \beta_k = \tfrac{1}{2} g_\mu B + \tfrac{g_2 V_0}{2^k} \langle F_3^{(0)}\rangle, \\
&\gamma_k = \tfrac{g_2 V_0}{2^k} \langle F_+^{(0)}\rangle, \qquad\qquad \rho_k = \tfrac{g_2 V_0}{\sqrt{k!(-k)!}} \langle U_+^{(0)}\rangle, \\
&\sigma_k = \tfrac{g_2 V_0}{\sqrt{k!(-k)!}} \langle V_+^{(0)}\rangle, \qquad\qquad \tau_k = \tfrac{g_2 V_0}{\sqrt{k!(-k)!}} \langle E_+^{(0)}\rangle.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_* &= \epsilon_0 + \alpha_0 \langle E_3^{(0)}\rangle + \beta_0 \langle F_3^{(0)}\rangle + \gamma_0 \langle F_-^{(0)}\rangle + \gamma_0^{ast} \langle F_+^{(0)}\rangle \\
&\quad + \rho_0 \langle U_-^{(0)}\rangle + \sigma_0 \langle V_-^{(0)}\rangle + \tau_0 \langle E_-^{(0)}\rangle + \sum_{k\neq 0} \{\rho_k \langle U_-^{(k)}\rangle \\
&\quad + \sigma_k \langle V_-^{(k)}\rangle + \tau_k \langle E_-^{(k)}\rangle\}
\end{aligned} \tag{15}
$$

Note that the H_q $(q = 0, k, -k)$ is written in terms of $SO(3,2)$ generators and its order parameter operators for a given k. It is known that within the $SO(3,2)$ mean-field picture the energy eigenstates are expressed as a direct product of $SO(3,2)$ coherent states $\otimes_q |\xi_q\rangle$. Therefore the eigenstates $|\xi\rangle$ can be written as

$$
|\xi\rangle = \otimes_q |\xi_q\rangle = \otimes_q W(\xi_q)|00\rangle \quad (q = 0, +k, -k) \tag{16}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
W(\xi_q) &= \exp\{\xi_q (\sqrt{2}\cos\Theta_q E_+^{(q)} - \sin\Theta_q e^{i\Phi_q} U_+^{(q)} \\
&\quad + \sin\Theta_q e^{-i\Phi_q} V_+^{(q)}) - H.C.\}
\end{aligned}
$$

with the coherent parameter $\xi_q = r_k e^{i\Psi_q}$ and $|00\rangle$ is the vacuum state. Using the relations given in Appendix A, we immediately have

$$\begin{aligned} W^\dagger(\xi_q)H_qW(\xi_q) &= f_1(q)E_3^{(q)} + f_2(q)F_3^{(q)} + f_3(q)E_+^{(q)} + f_3^*(q)E_-^{(q)} \\ &\quad + f_4(q)F_+^{(q)} + f_4^*(q)F_-^{(q)} + f_5(q)U_+^{(q)} + f_5^*(q)U_-^{(q)} \\ &\quad + f_6(q)V_+^{(q)} + f_6^*(q)V_-^{(q)} + f_7(q)\Delta_+^{(q)} + f_7^*(q)\Delta_-^{(q)} \\ &\quad + f_8(q)N_+^{(q)} + f_8^*(q)N_-^{(q)} + f_9(q)N_3^{(q)} + f_{10}(q)Q^{(q)} \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

where $f_1(q), \cdots, f_{10}(q)$ are given Appendix B. Denoting $\sigma_q = |\sigma_q|e^{-i(\Psi_q - \Phi_q)}$, $\rho_q = |\rho_q|e^{-i(\Psi_q+\Phi_q)}$, $\tau_q = |\tau_q|e^{-i\Psi_q}$, and $\gamma_q = |\gamma_q|e^{i\Phi_q}$, and setting $f_3(q) = f_4(q) = f_5(q) = f_6(q) = f_7(q) = f_8(q) = 0$, we diagonalized the Hamiltonian H_q as follows:

(1). When $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{0}$ by direct calculation we find the conditions to have

$$\begin{aligned} &\beta_q = |\gamma_q| = 0, \quad |\sigma_q| = -|\rho_q| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|\tau_q| \tan\Theta_q \\ &\tanh 2r_q = -\frac{\sqrt{2}|\tau_q|}{\alpha_q \cos\Theta_q}, \qquad E_q = \sqrt{{\alpha_q}^2 - 2|\tau_q|^2 \sec^2\Theta_q} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$W^\dagger(\xi_q)H_qW(\xi_q) = E_qE_3^{(q)} + f_{10}(q)Q^{(q)}.$$

It indicates that in the condensate state of the system there is not Zeeman effect, however the excitation states produce Zeeman effect at k and $-k$.

(2). When $\mathbf{B} \neq \mathbf{0}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\sin\Theta_q = 0, \quad |\rho_q| = |\sigma_q| = |\gamma_q| = 0, \\ &\beta_q = g_\mu B \ \ (q = 0); \quad \frac{1}{2}g_\mu B \ \ (q \neq 0), \\ &\tanh 2r_q = -\frac{\sqrt{2}|\tau_q|}{\alpha_q \cos\Theta_q}, \quad E_q = \sqrt{{\alpha_q}^2 - 2|\tau_q|^2} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$W^\dagger(\xi_q)H_qW(\xi_q) = E_qE_3^{(q)} + \beta_qF_3^{(q)} + f_{10}(q)Q^{(q)}.$$

3 Super-Poissonian distribution and correlation functions for the two-component BEC

The sub-Poissonian photon statistics of light is one of the best known nonclassical effects. With the rapid development of atom optics, especially nonclassical motional

states of atoms have been generated in experiments, it is of somewhat importance to investigate nonclassical effects of atoms. We here discuss the sub-Poissonian distribution of two-component BEC. Following Mandel[15] the Q parameters for two-component BEC is introduced:

$$Q_a(0) = \frac{\langle(\Delta n_0^a)^2\rangle}{\langle n_0^a\rangle} - 1 \quad Q_b(0) = \frac{\langle(\Delta n_0^b)^2\rangle}{\langle n_0^b\rangle} - 1$$

The sub-Poissonian atom statistics exists whenever $-1 \leq Q_{a(b)}(0) < 0$. When $Q_{a(b)}(0) > 0$, the state is called super-Poissonian while the state with $Q_{a(b)}(0) = 0$ is called Poissonian.

Correlations between the two-component BEC hyperfine spin states of the same atom may be characterized by the second-order correlation functions:

$$\begin{aligned} g_a^{(2)}(0) &= \frac{\langle {a_0^+}^2 {a_0}^2\rangle}{\langle a_0^+ a_0\rangle^2} = 1 + \frac{Q_a(0)}{\langle n_0^a\rangle} \\ g_b^{(2)}(0) &= \frac{\langle {b_0^+}^2 {b_0}^2\rangle}{\langle b_0^+ b_0\rangle^2} = 1 + \frac{Q_b(0)}{\langle n_0^b\rangle} \\ g_{ab}^{(2)}(0) &= \frac{\langle n_0^a n_0^b\rangle}{\langle n_0^a\rangle\langle n_0^b\rangle} \end{aligned}$$

where $g_{ab}^{(2)}(0) = 1$ for uncorrelated states; $g_{ab}^{(2)}(0) > 1$ for correlated states and $g_{ab}^{(2)}(0) < 1$ for anticorrelated states. For a system consisting of two-component BEC, there is the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality (CSI)[16]

$$[g_{ab}^{(2)}(0)]^2 \leq g_a^{(2)}(0) g_b^{(2)}(0) \tag{18}$$

Reid and Walls[17] showed that violations of the CSI can be accompanied by the violations of Bell's inequality. If the inequality (18) is violated, the correlations between two components are called nonclassical correlations which can be characterized by

$$I(0) = \frac{\sqrt{g_a^{(2)}(0) g_b^{(2)}(0)}}{g_{ab}^{(2)}(0)} - 1$$

which is negative if the inequality (18)is violated. For the states $\mid \xi\rangle$ given in eq(16), the correlation functions $g_a^{(2)}(0) = g_b^{(2)}(0) = 2$ and $g_{ab}^{(2)}(0) = 1 + \coth^2 r_0 \cos^2\Theta_0$, that do not agree with the experimental results, which seem to indicate that $g^{(2)}(0)$ are not exactly equal to 1, slightly larger than 1. It is easy to show that $g_a^{(2)} = g_b^{(2)} = g_{ab}^{(2)} = 1$ in the state $D(\alpha,\beta) \mid 0\rangle$ (D state) if the mean density $\langle n_0^a\rangle$ and $\langle n_0^b\rangle$ are a large numbers, where $D(z_q^a, z_q^b) = \exp(z_q^a a_q^+ + z_q^b b_q^+ - h.c.)$.

These considerations motivate our attempt to generalize $|\xi\rangle$ to $|\xi, z^a, z^b\rangle$,

$$|\xi, z^a, z^b\rangle = |\xi_0, z_0^a, z_0^b\rangle \otimes_{k\neq 0} |\xi_k, z_k^a, z_k^b\rangle, \tag{19}$$

by introducing the further definitions

$$|\xi_0, z_0^a, z_0^b\rangle = D(z_0^a, z_0^b)|\xi_0\rangle, \quad |\xi_k, z_k^a, z_k^b\rangle = D(z_k^a, z_k^b)|\xi_k\rangle, \tag{20}$$

where $D(z_q^a, z_q^b) = \exp(z_q^a a_q^+ + z_q^b b_q^+ - h.c.)$, $q = 0, k, -k$. We now describe the BEC states by $|\xi, z^a, z^b\rangle$ where

$$|\xi, z^a, z^b\rangle = \otimes_q |\xi_q, z_q^a, z_q^b\rangle = \otimes_q D(z_q^a, z_q^b) W(\xi_q)|00\rangle, (q = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, ...). \tag{21}$$

For convenience, we refer to the state $|\xi, z^a, z^b\rangle$ as a DW state, the DW operator being similar to, but not identical with, what produces a squeezed state in quantum optics.

$$\begin{aligned} W^+(\xi_q) a_q W(\xi_q) &= a_q \cosh r_q + (\cos\Theta_q b_{-q}^+ - \sin\Theta_q e^{i\Phi_q} a_{-q}^+) e^{i\Psi_q} \sinh r_q \\ W^+(\xi_q) b_q W(\xi_q) &= b_q \cosh r_q + (\cos\Theta_q a_{-q}^+ + \sin\Theta_q e^{-i\Phi_q} b_{-q}^+) e^{i\Psi_q} \sinh r_q \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

We obtain the following mean values in the DW state:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle n_0^a \rangle &= |z_0^a|^2 + \sinh^2 r_0, \qquad \langle n_0^b \rangle = |z_0^b|^2 + \sinh^2 r_0 \\ \langle (n_0^a)^2 \rangle &= (|z_0^a|^2 + \sinh^2 r_0)^2 + \cosh^2 r_0 (|z_0^a|^2 + \sinh^2 r_0) + |z_0^a|^2 \sinh^2 r_0 \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{2} \sinh 2r_0 \sin\Theta_0 [(z_0^{a*})^2 e^{i(\Phi_0+\Psi_0)} + (z_0^a)^2 e^{-i(\Phi_0+\Psi_0)}] \\ \langle (n_0^b)^2 \rangle &= (|z_0^b|^2 + \sinh^2 r_0)^2 + \cosh^2 r_0 (|z_0^b|^2 + \sinh^2 r_0) + |z_0^b|^2 \sinh^2 r_0 \\ &\quad +\frac{1}{2} \sinh 2r_0 \sin\Theta_0 [(z_0^{b*})^2 e^{i(\Psi_0-\Phi_0)} + (z_0^b)^2 e^{i(\Phi_0-\Psi_0)}] \\ \langle n_0^a n_0^b \rangle &= (|z_0^a|^2 + \sinh^2 r_0)(|z_0^b|^2 + \sinh^2 r_0) + \cosh^2 r_0 \sinh^2 r_0 \cos^2\Theta_0 \\ &\quad +\frac{1}{2} \sinh 2r_0 \cos\Theta_0 (e^{i\Psi_0} z_0^{a*} z_0^{b*} + e^{-i\Psi_0} z_0^a z_0^b) \\ &\quad -2 z_0^a z_0^{b*} \sinh^2 r_0 \sin 2\Theta_0 \sin\Phi_0 \end{aligned}$$

If we take $z_0^a = |z_0^a| \exp(i\delta_0)$ and $z_0^b = |z_0^b| \exp(i\delta_0)$, then the value $g_a^{(2)}(0)$, $g_b^{(2)}(0)$ and $g_{ab}^{(2)}(0)$ for the DW state are

$$g_a^{(2)}(0) = \frac{|z_0^a|^2 \sinh^2 r_0 [1 - 2\coth r_0 \sin\Theta_0 \cos(\Psi_0 + \Phi_0 - 2\delta_0)]}{(|z_0^a|^2 + \sinh^2 r_0)^2}$$

$$+1+\frac{\sinh^2 r_0}{|z_0^a|^2+\sinh^2 r_0} \tag{23}$$

$$g_b^{(2)}(0) = \frac{|z_0^b|^2\sinh^2 r_0[1+2\coth r_0\sin\Theta_0\cos(\Psi_0-\Phi_0-2\delta_0)]}{(|z_0^b|^2+\sinh^2 r_0)^2}$$
$$+1+\frac{\sinh^2 r_0}{|z_0^b|^2+\sinh^2 r_0} \tag{24}$$

$$g_{ab}^{(2)}(0) = 1+\frac{|z_0^a||z_0^b|\sinh 2r_0[\cos(\Psi_0-2\delta_0)-\frac{1}{2}\tanh r_0\sin 2\Theta_0\sin\Phi_0]}{(|z_0^a|^2+\sinh^2 r_0)(|z_0^b|^2+\sinh^2 r_0)}$$
$$+\frac{\sinh^2 2r_0\cos^2\Theta_0}{4(|z_0^a|^2+\sinh^2 r_0)(|z_0^b|^2+\sinh^2 r_0)} \tag{25}$$

Parelleling to the above section we also distinguish two cases:

(1). If $\mathbf{B}=\mathbf{0}$ then $\sin\Theta_0$ may take arbitrary value, therefore the above relations eq.(23)-(25) are unchanged. According to the above relations eq.(23)-(25) we conclude that the distribution of the the two-component BEC is uncertain.

(2). If there exists the magnetic field $\mathbf{B}$ then $\sin\Theta_0=0$. When choosing $|z_0^a|=|z_0^b|=z_0$ we obtain the following relations:

$$g_a^{(2)}(0) = g_b^{(2)}(0) = 1+\frac{\sinh^2 r_0}{{z_0}^2+\sinh^2 r_0}+\frac{z_0^2\sinh^2 r_0}{({z_0}^2+\sinh^2 r_0)^2} \tag{26}$$

$$Q_a(0) = Q_b(0) = \sinh^2 r_0+\frac{z_0^2\sinh^2 r_0}{{z_0}^2+\sinh^2 r_0} \tag{27}$$

$$g_{ab}^{(2)}(0) = 1+\frac{z_0^2\sinh 2r_0\cos(\Psi_0-2\delta_0)+\frac{1}{4}\sinh^2 2r_0}{({z_0}^2+\sinh^2 r_0)^2} \tag{28}$$

$$I(0) = \frac{\sinh^2 r_0(2z_0^2-1)-z_0^2\sinh 2r_0\cos(\Psi_0-2\delta_0)}{(z_0^2+\sinh^2 r_0)^2+\frac{1}{4}\sinh^2 2r_0+z_0^2\sinh 2r_0\cos(\Psi_0-2\delta_0)} \tag{29}$$

From eq.(26) and eq.(27) it immediately follows that $1<g_{a(b)}^{(2)}(0)<2$ and $Q_{a(b)}(0)>0$. It indicates that the two-component BEC obey super-Poissonian distribution. However, the properties of $g_{ab}^{(2)}(0)$ and $I(0)$ depend on the value of $\cos(\Psi_0-2\delta_0)$.

4 Conclusion

In this paper we have diagonalized the system of a two-component BEC base on the $SO(3,2)$ spectrum-generating algebra structure for the mean field Hamiltonian and shown that the eigenstate is related to $SO(3,2)$-coherent state. Also we find that a two-component BEC associated with DW state satisfy uniquely super-Poissonian distribution in the fixed magnetic field along the z-direction, but as the magnetic

field disappears the distribution wil become uncertain. Therefore the DW state will provide better fits to the experimental results on the correlation function associated with the BEC state.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China.

APPENDIX A

$$\begin{aligned}
W^{\dagger}(\xi_q)E_{\pm}^{(q)}W(\xi_q) &= E_{\pm}^{(q)}\cosh^2 r_q - \{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sin 2\Theta_q(e^{\mp i(2\Psi_q+\Phi_q)}U_{\mp}^{(q)} \\
&\quad -e^{\mp i(2\Psi_q-\Phi_q)}V_{\mp}^{(q)}) - e^{\mp i2\Psi_q}\cos 2\Theta_q E_{\mp}^{(q)}\} \times \sinh^2 r_q \\
&\quad +\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}e^{\mp i\Psi_q}\cos\Theta_q E_3^{(q)} + \frac{1}{2}\sin\Theta_q(e^{\mp i(\Psi_q-\Phi_q)}F_{\mp}^{(q)} \\
&\quad -e^{\mp i(\Psi_q+\Phi_q)}F_{\pm}^{(q)})\} \times \sinh 2r_q,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
W^{\dagger}(\xi_q)U_{\pm}^{(q)}W(\xi_q) &= U_{\pm}^{(q)}\cosh^2 r_q - \{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sin 2\Theta_q e^{\mp i(2\Psi_q+\Phi_q)}E_{\mp}^{(q)} \\
&\quad -e^{\mp i2(\Psi_q+\Phi_q)}\sin^2\Theta_q U_{\mp}^{(q)} - e^{\mp i2\Psi_q}\cos^2\Theta_q V_{\mp}^{(q)}\} \\
&\quad \times \sinh^2 r_q - \{\frac{1}{2}e^{\mp i(\Psi_q+\Phi_q)}\sin\Theta_q[E_3^{(q)} - F_3^{(q)}] \\
&\quad -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}e^{\mp i\Psi_q}\cos\Theta_q F_{\mp}^{(q)})] \times \sinh 2r_q
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
W^{\dagger}(\xi_q)V_{\pm}^{(q)}W(\xi_q) &= V_{\pm}^{(q)}\cosh^2 r_q + \{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sin 2\Theta_q e^{\mp i(2\Psi_q-\Phi_q)}E_{\mp}^{(q)} \\
&\quad +e^{\mp i2(\Psi_q-\Phi_q)}\sin^2\Theta_q V_{\mp}^{(q)} + e^{\mp i2\Psi_q}\cos^2\Theta_q U_{\mp}^{(q)}\} \\
&\quad \times \sinh^2 r_q + \{\frac{1}{2}e^{\mp i(\Psi_q-\Phi_q)}\sin\Theta_q[E_3^{(q)} + F_3^{(q)}] \\
&\quad +\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}e^{\mp i\Psi_q}\cos\Theta_q F_{\pm}^{(q)})\} \times \sinh 2r_q,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
W^{\dagger}(\xi_q)F_{\pm}^{(q)}W(\xi_q) &= \{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sin 2\Theta_q e^{\pm i\Phi_q}F_3^{(q)} - \sin^2\Theta_q e^{\pm 2i\Phi_q}F_{\mp}^{(q)}\} \times \sinh^2 r_q \\
&\quad +\{\frac{1}{2}\sin\Theta_q[e^{\mp i(\Psi_q-\Phi_q)}E_{\mp}^{(q)} - e^{\pm i(\Psi_q+\Phi_q)}E_{\pm}^{(q)}] \\
&\quad +\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\cos\Theta_q[e^{\mp i\Psi_q}U_{\mp}^{(q)} + e^{\pm i\Psi_q}V_{\pm}^{(q)}]\} \times \sinh 2r_q \\
&\quad +(\cosh^2 r_q + \cos^2\Theta_q \sinh^2 r_q)F_{\pm}^{(q)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
W^{\dagger}(\xi_q)E_3^{(q)}W(\xi_q) &= E_3^{(q)}\cosh 2r_q + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\{e^{i\Psi_q}E_+^{(q)} + e^{-i\Psi_q}E_-^{(q)}\} \\
&\times \sinh 2r_q \cos\Theta_q - \frac{1}{2}\{e^{i(\Psi_q+\Phi_q)}U_+^{(q)} + e^{-i(\Psi_q+\Phi_q)}U_-^{(q)} \\
&-e^{i(\Psi_q-\Phi_q)}V_+^{(q)} - e^{-i(\Psi_q-\Phi_q)}V_-^{(q)}\} \times \sinh 2r_q \sin\Theta_q
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
W^{\dagger}(\xi_q)F_3^{(q)}W(\xi_q) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[e^{-i\Phi_q}F_+^{(q)} + e^{i\Phi_q}F_-^{(q)}] \times \sinh^2 r_q \sin 2\Theta_q \\
&+\frac{1}{2}\{e^{i(\Psi_q+\Phi_q)}U_+^{(q)} + e^{-i(\Psi_q+\Phi_q)}U_-^{(q)} \\
&+e^{i(\Psi_q-\Phi_q)}V_+^{(q)} + e^{-i(\Psi_q-\Phi_q)}V_-^{(q)}\} \times \sinh 2r_q \sin\Theta_q \\
&+(1 + 2\sin^2\Theta_q \sinh^2 r_q)F_3^{(q)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
W^{\dagger}(\xi_q)N_{\pm}^{(q)}W(\xi_q) &= [e^{\pm i2\Phi_k}N_{\mp}^{(q)} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sin 2\Theta_q e^{\pm i\Phi_q}N_3^{(q)}] \times \sinh^2 r_q \\
&+\frac{1}{2}[e^{\mp i(\Psi_q-\Phi_q)}\Delta_{\mp}^{(k)} + e^{\pm i(\Psi_q+\Phi_q)}\Delta_{\pm}^{(q)}] \times \sinh 2r_q \\
&+(1 + \sin^2\Theta_q \sinh^2 r_q)N_{\pm}^{(q)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
W^{\dagger}(\xi_q)N_3^{(q)}W(\xi_q) &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[e^{-i\Phi_q}N_+^{(q)} + e^{i\Phi_q}N_-^{(q)}] \times \sinh^2 r_q \sin 2\Theta_q \\
&-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[e^{-i\Psi_q}\Delta_-^{(q)} + e^{i\Theta_q}\Delta_+^{(q)}) \times \sinh 2r_q \cos\Theta_q \\
&+(1 + 2\cos^2\Theta_k \sinh^2 r_q)N_3^{(K)}
\end{aligned}
$$

APPENDIX B

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_1(q) &= \alpha_q \cosh 2r_q + (\sigma_q e^{i(\Psi_q-\Phi_q)} + \sigma_q^* e^{i(\Phi_q-\Psi_q)} \\
&-\rho_q e^{i(\Psi_q+\Phi_q)} - \rho_q^* e^{-i(\Phi_q+\Psi_q)}) \times \frac{1}{2}\sinh 2r_q \sin\Theta_q \\
&+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\tau_q e^{i\Psi_q} + \tau_q^* e^{-i\Psi_q}) \times \sinh 2r_k \cos\Theta_q \\
f_2(q) &= \beta_q(1 + 2\sin^2\Theta_q \sinh^2 r_q) + \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_q e^{i(\Psi_q-\Phi_q)} + \sigma_q^* e^{i(\Phi_q-\Psi_q)} \\
&+\rho_q e^{i(\Psi_q+\Phi_q)} + \rho_q^* e^{-i(\Phi_q+\Psi_q)}) \times \sinh 2r_q \sin\Theta_q \\
&+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sinh^2 r_q \sin 2\Theta_q(\gamma_q e^{-i\Phi_q} + \gamma_q^* e^{i\Phi_q}) \\
f_3(q) &= \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_q e^{i(\Psi_q-\Phi_q)} - \gamma_q^* e^{i(\Psi_q+\Phi_q)}) \sinh 2r_q \sin\Theta_q
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& + \tau_q^* \cosh^2 r_q + \tau_q \sinh^2 r_q \cos 2\Theta_q e^{i2\Psi_q} \\
& + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\sigma_q e^{i(2\Psi_q - \Phi_q)} - \rho_q e^{i(2\Psi_q + \Phi_q)}) \sinh^2 r_q \sin 2\Theta_q \\
& + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \alpha_q \sinh 2r_q \cos \Theta_q e^{i\Psi_q} \\
f_4(q) &= \gamma_q^* (\cosh^2 r_q + \cos^2 \Theta_q \sinh^2 r_q) - \gamma_q \sinh^2 r_q \sin^2 \Theta_q e^{-i2\Phi_q} \\
& + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\rho_q e^{i\Psi_q} + \sigma_q^* e^{-i\Psi_q}) \sinh 2r_q \cos \Theta_q \\
& + \frac{1}{2} (\tau_q e^{i(\Psi_q - \Phi_q)} - \tau_q^* e^{-i(\Psi_q + \Phi_q)}) \sinh 2r_q \sin \Theta_q \\
& + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \beta_q \sinh^2 r_q \sin 2\Theta_q e^{-i\Phi_q} \\
f_5(q) &= \frac{1}{2} (\beta_q - \alpha_q) \sinh 2r_q \sin \Theta_q e^{i(\Psi_q + \Phi_q)} + \rho_q^* \cosh^2 r_k \\
& + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \gamma_q \sinh 2r_q \cos \Theta_q e^{i\Psi_q} + \{\rho_q \sin^2 \Theta_q e^{i2(\Psi_q + \Phi_q)} \\
& + \sigma_q \cos^2 \Theta_q e^{i2\Psi_q} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \tau_q \sin 2\Theta_q e^{i(2\Psi_q + \Phi_q)}\} \times \sinh^2 r_q \\
f_6(q) &= \frac{1}{2} (\alpha_q + \beta_q) \sinh 2r_q \sin \Theta_q e^{i(\Psi_q - \Phi_q)} + \sigma_q^* \cosh^2 r_q \\
& + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \gamma_q^* \sinh 2r_q \cos \Theta_q e^{i\Psi_q} + \{\rho_q \cos^2 \Theta_q e^{i2\Psi_q} \\
& + \sigma_q \sin^2 \Theta_q e^{i2(\Psi_q - \Phi_q)} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \tau_q \sin 2\Theta_q e^{i(2\Psi_q - \Phi_q)}\} \times \sinh^2 r_q \\
f_7(q) &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} {\beta'}_q \sinh 2r_q \cos \Theta_q e^{i\Psi_q} + \frac{1}{2} (\gamma_q e^{-i\Phi_q} + \gamma_q^* e^{i\Phi_q}) \times \sinh 2r_q e^{i\Psi_q} \\
f_8(q) &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} {\beta'}_q \sinh^2 r_q \sin 2\Theta_q e^{-i\Phi_q} + \gamma_q \sinh^2 r_q \sin^2 \Theta_q e^{-2i\Phi_q} \\
& + \gamma_q^* (1 + \sinh^2 r_q \sin^2 \Theta_q) \\
f_9(q) &= {\beta'}_q (1 + 2 \sinh^2 r_q \cos^2 \Theta_q) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\gamma_q e^{-i\Phi_q} + \gamma_q^* e^{i\Phi_q}) \sinh^2 r_q \sin 2\Theta_q \\
f_{10}(q) &= 0 (if q = 0); \alpha_q - \epsilon_q (if q \neq 0) \\
\beta'_q &= 0 (if q = 0); \beta_q - \frac{1}{2} g_\mu B (if q \neq 0).
\end{aligned}
$$

References

[1] O. Penrose and L. Onsager, Phys. Rev. **104** (1956) 576.

[2] M.H. Anderson, J.R. Ensher, M.R. Matthews, C.E. Wieman and E. A. Cornell, Science **269** (1995) 198.

[3] C.C. Bradley, C.A. Sackett, J.J. Tollett, and R.G. Hulet, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75** (1995) 1687.

[4] K.B. Davis, M.O Mewes, M.R. Andrews, N.J. van Druten, D.S. Kurn, and W. Ketterle, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75** (1995) 3969.

[5] C.J. Myatt, E.A. Burt, R.W. Ghirst, E.A. Cornell and C.E. Wieman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78** (1997) 586.

[6] D.S. Hall, M.R. Matthews, J.R. Ensher, C.E. Wieman and E.A. Cornell, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81** (1998) 1539.

[7] D.S. Hall, M.R. Matthews, C.E. Wieman and E.A. Cornell, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81** (1998) 1543.

[8] Tin-Lun Ho and Sung Kit Yip, con-mat/9905339.

[9] M.R. Andrews, C.G. Townsend, H.J. Miesner, D.S. Durfee, D.M. Kurn and W. Ketterle, Science **275** (1997) 637.

[10] W. Ketterle and H.J. Miesner, Phys. Rev. A **56** (1997) 3291.

[11] E.A. Burt, R.W. Ghrist, C.J. Myatt, M.J. Holland, E.A. Cornell and C.E. Wieman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79** (1997) 337.

[12] A.I. Solomon, Y. Feng and V. Penna, Phys. Rev. B **60** (1999) 3044.

[13] T. Ohmi and K. Machida, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **67** (1998) 1822.

[14] M. J. Steel and M. J. Collett, Phys. Rev. A**57** (1998) 2920.

[15] L. Mandel, Opt. Lett. **4** (1979) 205; Phys. Scr. **T12** (1986) 34.

[16] G.S. Agarwal, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B**5** (1988) 1940.

[17] M.D. Reid and D.F. Walls, Phys. Rev. A**34** (1996) 1260.